

(d) It is now considered unnecessary, since a new approach road under Local Development Works Programme is nearing completion.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—The present approach road mentioned in clause (c) is not even started for the last 15 months due to obstruction by the owner. Why has not the Government in the P.W.D. taken up this road and finished it?

***Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.**—I do not know whether there is any obstruction. There is a proposal and the work is in progress. It is felt that there is no need for another causeway.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—Is the Government aware that there is no road at all for these villagers to go to their fields three miles distant? The local development work is not in progress at all. On the contrary they have to go three miles

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please put a question.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—I want to know whether Government is aware of all these things?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Sir, it needs a little looking into. According to the report before me, the work has been taken up and it is nearing completion. But according to Sri Sidhanti, it has not been taken up at all. I will look into it.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—Will the Government see that at least one road is given to these villagers?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—According to the report before me the road is nearing completion. But according to Sri Sidhanti, the work is not at all taken up. I will look into it.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—From whom has the Government received the report? Is it from the P.W.D. or the Collector?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Generally from the Department. We forward the question to the Chief Engineer who in turn sends it on to the Superintending Engineer and then it goes to the Executive Engineer. It

is from the Department that we get the report.

Sri P. G. SIDHANTI.—Will the Government provide the villagers with one road at least, whether it be approach road or another kind of road?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—According to me there is a road. But according to Sri Sidhanti there is no road at all. It requires looking into.

Registration of Ayurvedic and Unani Physicians in the State.

Q.—1632. Sri M. MALLIKARJUNA SWAMY (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that unqualified persons are practising as qualified Ayurvedic and Unani Physicians, as there is no registration for qualified Ayurvedic and Unani Physicians in this State;

(b) whether such persons have been employed by them to high posts, which can be held only by the qualified physicians;

(c) whether some physicians who have no qualification at all have been appointed as Ayurvedic Physicians in Mysore and other District Boards;

(d) whether they are aware that due to the above facts the diploma holders of the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani College are placed at a great disadvantage in spite of having undergone academical training in a Government College?

A.— Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Health).—

(a) As there is no registration of Medical Practitioners in Ayurvedic and Unani system all sorts of Pandits and Hakims are practising.

(b) A few hereditary pandits and hakims are employed in the District Board dispensaries and also in the Government institutions in consideration of professional experience.

(c) *Vide* reply to (b).

*Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

(SRI R. M. PATIL)

(d) No. The diploma holders are not placed at any disadvantage as they are paid the scale pay of Rs. 50-5-100 when they are appointed as physicians in the District Board Dispensaries which benefit is denied to non-qualified doctors. Further, persons not possessing necessary qualifications are not entertained now, preference being given to only diploma holders.

Sri M. MALLIKARJUNASWAMY.—In answer to clause (a) it is stated that "there is no registration of Medical Practitioners in Ayurvedic and Unani system and all sorts of Pandits and Hakims are practising." Is it not advisable to see that registration is insisted on?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Not only is registration desired to be insisted, but also a Bill is being prepared and it is already notified. My friend must be knowing that.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Will it not be introducing a very dangerous principle to allow Hyderabad Hakims and Pandits to be employed by District Boards wherein the question of health of persons is concerned?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—It is an opinion.

Release of Waste Land to the Backward Class People in Belgaum District.

Q.—1710. Sri S. P. TALWALKAR (Raibag).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the waste land is given for cultivation to Backward Class people according to Government Resolution and if so, the number of places in the District where such land is provided;

(b) whether the Collector is empowered to increase or reduce the extent of acres of such waste land granted by the Government;

(c) whether waste land is permitted to be turned into grazing land?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) Yes, in 86 villages in Belgaum district.

(b) If the Government waste land granted to an individual is less than the prescribed economic holding, the Collector can grant additional land upto the extent of making up the economic holding.

(c) Yes, in case the grazing area of the place is less than the prescribed standard area for grazing, waste lands can be assigned for grazing purposes.

[Sri S. P. TALWALKAR put his supplementary question in Marathi.

Sri M. P. PATIL answered in Marathi.]

Sri F. H. MOHSIN.—May I know which communities were included in Backward Communities? Was it according to the definition of the Backward Classes prevalent here or there?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—According to the definition of the Bombay Government and not of the old Mysore Government.

Lent Officer in the Department of Prisons.

Q.—1841. Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palaiyam).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether there is any lent officer in the Department of Prisons;

(b) the purpose for which the said officer was lent, and for what period;

(c) the amount spent on the said officer so far by way of pay, etc.?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Deputy Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(a) Yes; one.

(b) In the interest of public service till the end of June 1958.

(c) The amount spent on this officer is his own pay he was drawing as Superintendent, Alipuram Jail-cum-Borstal School, Bellary.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know from which State that officer is lent?

*Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Madras.